Preapplication for HSIPR Program

OMB No. 2130-0583



Preapplication instructions:

- For each question, enter the appropriate information in the designated gray box; keep text answers brief.
- For a multi-State project/program, States are encouraged to identify a lead applicant.
- Please submit one preapplication for each individual project, planning study, or corridor service program.
- Answers provided in this form will <u>not</u> be used for evaluation or selection purposes.
- Applicants should complete and submit this form electronically to: <u>HSIPR@dot.gov</u>.

A. Who are you?

(1) Select app ⊠State	licant type, as defined in App	pendix 1.1 of the H	SIPR Guidance (Che	ck the appropriate box	from the list):
Amtra	k				
	the following, please append of States	appropriate docum	entation as describe	d in Section 4.3.1 of the	e HSIPR Guidance:
Interst	ate Compacts				
□Public	Agency established by one of	or more States			
□Amtra	k in cooperation with a State	or States			
	ead State or organization app				
(4) Application	on point of contact (POC):		POC title: Bureau Chief,	Railroads	
Street ad 100 Wes	dress: t Randolph, Suite 6-600	City: Chicago	State: IL	Zip code: 60601	Telephone number: (312) 793-4222
Fax: (31	2) 793-1251		Email: george	.weber@illinois.gov	

What is your project?

(5)	Project/program name:	Chicago To St Louis HSR Co	rridor - Joliet to St. Louis Meet Resolution Project
(6)	project or program relates First Phase of the ground This Phase consists of the	to another HSIPR application do work for the HSR Corridor Proje final design/rehabilitation/const	e phases please describe each and how they fit together; if the escribe the linkage (less than 1000 characters): ect approved by FRA under the Final EIS dated January 2003. truction of existing sidings, new sidings and 2 nd main to corridor and the accompanying signal, bridge and crossing work.
(7)	Location information		
` ,		on of the proposed project/progroosed meet points along the corri	am (attach map if available) (less than 250 characters): See map idor.
	locations served, and na	ail and/or intercity passenger rai me of current route (if applicable San Antonio (Texas Eagle)	I route(s) benefit from this project? Identify endpoints, major e) (less than 250 characters): Chicago to St. Louis, Chicago to
	(C) State(s) in which the	ne project/program investment is	/are located: Illinois
	(D) State(s) in which the	ne benefiting service(s) is/are loc	eated: Illinois, Missouri, Arkansas, Texas
(8)	Project/program type:		
	(A) Types of capital in	vestments contemplated (Check	all that apply):
	Structures (brid	ges, tunnels, etc.)	Rolling Stock Refurbishments
	🛛 Track-Rehabili	ation	Rolling Stock Acquisitions
	Track-New Con	nstruction	Stations, Terminals
	New Rights-of-	Way	☐ Support Facilities (Yards, Shops, etc.)
	☐ Major Interlock	ings	☐ Grade Crossing Improvements
		ns, Signaling, Control	☐ Electric Traction
	Other (Please a	lescribe):	
	improvements, equ	ipment acquisitions, etc.) (less ti	, new passing tracks, interlocking reconfigurations, station han 500 characters): Improve existing and establish new train w 2 nd mainline including all ancillary elements (signals, bridges,
	(C) Service attributes (Check all that apply):	
	Additional Free	quencies on Existing Route	☑ Improved On-Time-Performance on Existing Route
	New Service		
	Other (Please of	lescribe):	

Federal Railroad Administration		HSIPK Program Preapplication
(9) Project/program milestones (mm.	<i>(</i> уууу):	
Construction start date:	Construction completion date:	Service improvements realized: 04/2012

(10) Anticipated benefits (on intercity passenger rail service(s) benefitting from project/program)

04/2012

Project/Program Benefits	Before (FY 2008 levels)	After (Project completion)	Not Applicable	Not Sure
Annual passenger-miles	86,362,000	86,362,000		
Average daily round trips (weekday)	5	5		
On-time performance (OTP) (at endpoint terminals)	60%	85%		
Top speed (mph)	79	79		
Average operating speed (mph) (between endpoint terminals)	54	54		

04/2010

What will your project/program cost & how will it be funded?

\$64,000	ticipated project/program capital cost (in millions of dollars)(Note: preapplication estimates will not be binding): 0,000
(12) Will you	ur project/program proposal include matching funds?
(A) 🛛	Yes If yes, as what percentage of total costs? TBD
	No
(B) Pro	oposed source(s) of capital matching funds (Please check all that apply):
	State Local Private Other (Please specify): N/A Not sure
Operatin	g Costs
	roject/program expected to result in an additional annual cost of operations for the benefitting service?
(14) Is the pr ☐ Yes (A) If a	roject/program expected to result in an additional annual cost of operations for the benefitting service?
(14) Is the property (A) If a gray	roject/program expected to result in an additional annual cost of operations for the benefitting service? No No Not sure additional operating funding is required, what would be the source? (Select the appropriate option by clicking the
(A) If a grain No.	roject/program expected to result in an additional annual cost of operations for the benefitting service? No No Not sure additional operating funding is required, what would be the source? (Select the appropriate option by clicking the any box to activate the dropdown menu):

D. What preparation have you done?

(15) Please indicate the status of planning, engineering and environmental studies/documentation supporting your program or project. (Although applicants are asked to respond to all items, note that <u>not</u> all are required for all tracks.)

	No study exists	If no study exists, are you applying for HSIPR funds to complete study?	Study underway	Study completed? (year)	Not applicable
Corridor Service Planning Studies/Do	cuments (f	or the intercity passenger	rail service bene	fitting from projec	t or program)
Purpose & Need/Rationale					
Service/Operating Plan					Ø
Prioritized Capital Plan					\boxtimes
Ridership/Revenue Forecast					×
Operating Cost Forecast					\boxtimes
Tier 1 Programmatic (or "service") NEPA (Identify document from dropdown menu) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)					
Assessment of Benefits					\boxtimes
Project Planning Studies/Documents (if application	n is for program (multiple	e projects), multi	ple boxes may be c	checked)
Preliminary Engineering (PE)			Transacti (contist)	⊠ 2003	
Tier 2 (project-level) NEPA (Identify document from dropdown menu) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)				☑ 2003	
Detailed Capital Cost Estimates					
Assessment of Benefits			\boxtimes		
General Planning Studies/Documents					
Project Management Plan					
Financial Plan (capital & operating – sources/uses)					
(16) Is the project(s) included in the States	vide Transpo	ortation Improvement Pro	ogram (STIP)?	Yes No	☐ Not sure
(17) Is the project/program included in a P	erformance	Improvement Plan for the	e host railroad?	∑ Yes □ No	☐ Not sure

(A) Who are your partners & what are their commitments?

Railroad owner 1 (Name):	- Union Pacific Corp.
Status of railroad owner 1 (Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray):	Preliminary executed agreement/MOU
Railroad owner 2 (Name):	
Status of railroad owner 2 (Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray):	No host railroad involved
(19) Intercity Passenger Rail Operator. If applicate the benefiting high-speed rail/intercity passenge the dropdown menu shaded in gray):	ole, provide the status of agreements with partner that will operate or rail services (e.g., Amtrak). (Click on the appropriate option from
No agreement, but partner supports project	
CD 3 County What shows	
passenger railroad service types (e.g., commuter menu shaded in gray): Some - less than 25%	of the project/program benefits will accrue to other <u>non</u> -intercity or freight)? (Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown es are foreseen, are cost-sharing agreements in place with the beneficiary A Not sure
passenger railroad service types (e.g., commuter menu shaded in gray): Some - less than 25% If benefits to non-intercity passenger rail service organization(s)? Yes No No	or freight)? (Click on the appropriate option from the dropdown es are foreseen, are cost-sharing agreements in place with the beneficiary A Not sure
passenger railroad service types (e.g., commuter menu shaded in gray): Some - less than 25% If benefits to non-intercity passenger rail service organization(s)? Yes No	es are foreseen, are cost-sharing agreements in place with the beneficiary A Not sure or your project/program? appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray):
passenger railroad service types (e.g., commuter menu shaded in gray): Some - less than 25% If benefits to non-intercity passenger rail service organization(s)? Yes No	es are foreseen, are cost-sharing agreements in place with the beneficiary A Not sure or your project/program? appropriate option from the dropdown menu shaded in gray):

PRA Public Protection Statement: Public reporting burden for this information collection is estimated to average 16 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 2130-0583.